

Shri Onkar Singh, S/o Shri Gurmail Singh, Bajrha Colony, P.O. Basti Jodhewal, Rahon Road, Ludhiana .

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority: O/o BDPO, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

## Appeal Case No. 104 of 2021

Present:- Shri Onkar Singh, appellant is absent. Shri Harjeet Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Ludhiana-2.

## ORDER

Shri Onkar Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 17.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Ludhiana.

## Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that in compliance to the order dated 02.11.2021 the sought for information and the amount of compensation of Rs.3,000/- has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 17.11.2021 and copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail, which is a photo copy of demand draft No. 00945 dated 02.11.2021 amounting to Rs.3,000/- in the name of Shri Onkar Singh, appellant along with copy of letter no. SPL4 dated 05.11.2021 and photo copy of postal receipt dated 17.11.2021.

I have gone through the letter No. SPL4 dated 05.11.2021 vide which point-wise information has been sent to the appellant.

As the SPIO has sent the sought for information to the appellant and also paid the amount of compensation of Rs.3,000/- and complied with the order of the Commission, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Shri Kuldeep Singh Khaira, # 125, Ishar Nagar, Ludhiana.



Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SHO, Police Station, Daba, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/ Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

..... Appellant

#### Appeal Case No. 2608 of 2021

**Present:-** Shri Kuldeep Singh Khaira, appellant is absent. SI Ranjit Singh-415/BARNALA is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Daba, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Kuldeep Singh Khaira, appellant filed RTI application dated 29.12.2020 before the SPIO, O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Daba, Ludhiana vide letter no.3018 dated 30.12.2020.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is personally present and he has submitted the status report dated 23.11.2021 duly signed by him regarding case FIR No. 40 dated 23.01.2018 U/s 452, 323, 295-A, 506, 120-B IPC. I have gone through the RTI application dated 29.11.2020 and the appellant sought information regarding the above mentioned FIR.

S.I. Ranjit Singh submitted that the investigation in the present case is still pending and has not been completed and that is why the information has not been provided to the appellant as the information is exempted U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005.

I have also gone through the status report dated 23.11.2021 and the whole facts related to the above mentioned case is submitted in the status report. The respondent submitted that the said case was registered U/s 295-A and for the presentation of the challan sheet before the concerned Court it is necessary to get the sanction from the Department of Home, Govt. of Punjab, which is under process. The respondent further submitted that whenever the report from the Home Department will be received and the challan will be submitted before the concerned Court, then the information will be sent to the appellant.

A show cause notice was issued to the SPIO on the last date of hearing. As per the facts submitted in the status report the delay in providing the information is due to the reasons mentioned in the status report. So, I withdraw the show cause notice and the present

Contd..p2..

#### Appeal Case No. 2608 of 2021

appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that whenever the sanction will be received from the Home Department, Govt. of Punjab, and the challan will be presented before the concerned Court then the sought for information be sent to the appellant through registered post within 15 days and compliance report be sent back to the Commission.

Dated: 24.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

(Regd.) : CC: Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

- for compliance of the order of the Commission.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Shri Gurnam Singh, S/o Shri Joginder Singh, R/o Village Todderwal, P.O. Babarpur, Tehsil Nabha, Distt. Patiala.



Vs

.....Appellant.

.....Respondent

Public Information Officer, O/ o BDPO, Nabha, Distt. Patiala.

First Appellate Authority: O/o DDPO, Patiala.

<u>Appeal Case No. 2534 of 2021</u>

Present:-Shri Gurnam Singh, appellant is absent.<br/>None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Nabha, Distt. Patiala.

ORDER

Shri Gurnam Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 01.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Nabha, Distt. Patiala

# Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the Order dated 01.11.2021 and on that date both the parties were present and the appellant stated that incomplete information has been provided to him by the SPIO. So, he was directed to submit the deficiencies in writing to the notice of the SPIO within 5 days and the SPIO was further directed that in case the appellant would submit the deficiencies then the attested copies of information regarding the deficiencies be provided to the appellant within a week from the receipt of deficiencies and compliance be sent to the Commission accordingly.

Today both the parties are absent. But the Commission has received an e-mail sent by the BDPO, Nabha, which is a copy of letter no.249 dated 12.11.2021 along with a copy of application submitted by Shri Manjit Singh, Panchayat Secretary and a copy of letter no.239 dated 25.10.2021.

I have gone through letter no.249 dated 12.11.2021 and it is submitted by the BDPO that the concerned Panchayat Secretary, Shri Manjit Singh has submitted an application that the information which was available as per the record of Gram Panchayat has already been provided to the appellant on 12.10.2012 and except that no other information is available in the record of Gram Panchayat.

The respondent has provided the information to the appellant on 12.10.2021 which was available as per the record of Gram Panchayat and moreover the appellant is absent today and neither he has sent any mail to the Commission regarding the deficiencies in the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.



Shri Kulwinder Singh Gopi, Panch Gram Panchayat, R/o Nangal Jattan, P.O.Lasara, Block Aur, Tehsil Nawanshehar.

Vs

.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer, O/ o BDPO, Aur, Tehsil Banga, Distt. SBS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority: O/o DDPO, SBS Nagar.

.....Respondent

## Appeal Case No. 2511 of 2021

**Present:-** Shri Kulwinder Singh Gopi, appellant is present. Shri Jeewan Lal, Suptd. is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Aur, Tehsil Banga, Distt. SBS Nagar.

ORDER

Shri Kulwinder Singh Gopi, appellant filed RTI application dated 01.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Aur, Tehsil Banga, Distt. SBS Nagar.

# Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that 186 pages of information has been provided by hand to the appellant on 15.11.2021 vide letter no.4447 dated 12.11.2021. He further stated that the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- has also been paid to the appellant vide Demand Draft No.001040 dated 10.11.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.4499 dated 17.11.2021 along with a copy of letter no.4447 dated 12.11.2021, copy of letter no.4444 dated 12.11.2021 and a photocopy of demand draft no.001040 dated 10.11.2021 amounting to Rs.2,000/- in the name of Shri Kulwinder Singh Gopi. I have gone through all the documents.

The appellant stated that he has received the information and the amount of compensation.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and also paid the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- to the appellant by way of demand draft, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.



**Smt. Rani Devi,** W/o Raghvir Singh, VPO Mahabadhar, Tehsil & District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Versus

... Appellant

#### **Public Information Officer,**

O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

#### First Appellate Authority,

O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

... Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 3557 of 2020

Present: Smt. Rani Devi, appellant is absent. Ms. Kusum Aggarwal, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Sri Muktsar Sahib is present. ORDER

Smt. Rani Devi, appellant filed RTI application on 29.05.2020 and sought information **regarding Gram Panchayat Mahabadhar, Tehsil & District Sri Muktsar Sahib** from the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

The appellant is absent today, whereas Ms. Kusum Aggarwal, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Sri Muktsar Sahib is personally present and she stated that the sought for information has already been provided to the appellant and the appellant has executed a duly signed receipt after receiving the information. She has submitted a copy of letter no.728 dated 09.11.2021 and copy of duly signed receiving executed by the appellant to the Commission, which are taken in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through letter no.728 dated 09.11.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the information was provided to the appellant and the appellant has submitted in writing that she has received the information. It is further submitted in the letter that concerned Panchayat Secretary, Shri Sukhninder Singh is imprisoned in some case. The SPIO further requested to drop the Show Cause Notice as the information in the present case has already been provided to the appellant.

After going through the above said letter and after hearing the SPIO, it is clear that the respondent is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the appellant and the appellant herself has executed a duly signed written submission that she has received the information, so the Show Cause Notice issued to the SPIO is hereby dropped and filed.

As the sought for information has already been provided to the appellant by the SPIO, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma, Advocate, # 585, Phase-2, Mohali.



..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o S.H.O., Police Station, Phase-1, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, O/o S.H.O., Police Station, Phase-1, Mohali.

.....Respondent

#### Appeal Case No. 2907 of 2020

Vs

Present:- Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma (Advocate), appellant is present. SI Shivdeep Singh Brar, SPIO-cum-S.H.O., P.S., Phase-1, Mohali.

ORDER

Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma (Advocate), appellant filed RTI application dated 30.04.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o S.H.O., P.S., Phase-1, Mohali.

Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma (Advocate), appellant and SI Shivdeep Singh Brar, SPIO-cum-S.H.O., P.S., Phase-1, Mohali both are personally present.

The respondent SPIO submitted a duly attested affidavit as reply to the Show Cause Notice, which is taken in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through the reply to the Show Cause Notice and heard the SPIO on the Show Cause Notice. The SPIO stated that the appellant has sought information regarding application dated 541 dated 08.05.2019, but the said application was not handed over by the concerned employee while giving over the charge to the department at the time of his retirement. He further requested to drop the Show Cause Notice.

After going through the reply and hearing the SPIO, it is clear that the SPIO is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the appellant, so the Show Cause Notice issued to SI Shivdeep Singh Brar, SPIO-cum-S.H.O., P.S., Phase-1, Mohali is hereby dropped and filed.

The appellant, Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma (Advocate) stated that he wants to withdraw his appeal.

As per the request of the appellant that he wants to withdraw his appeal, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Dated: 24.11.2021

(Regd.) CC: SSP, Mohali. – for information.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Shri Mohinder Singh Gullu, (M: 93572-53931), # 35, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar City.



..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

#### Complaint Case No. 409 of 2020

Present:- Shri Mohinder Singh Gullu, complainant/applicant is absent. Shri Wazir Raj, SPIO-cum-ATP, O/o MTP Branch, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar is personally present.

ORDER

Shri Mohinder Singh Gullu, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 25.10.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas Shri Wazir Raj, SPIO-cum-ATP, O/o MTP Branch, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar is personally present.

The complainant, Shri Mohinder Singh, Gullu is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that the SPIO has provided him the wrong information.

On the other hand the respondent stated that in compliance to the Order dated 10.11.2021, letter no.1478 dated 18.11.2021 was written to the applicant to come present in the office of the SPIO on 22.11.2021, but the applicant has not visited the office on 22.11.2021.

The SPIO further stated that the applicant sought information regarding completion plan of some buildings, which were part of development schemes of Improvement Trust, Jalandhar and had been handed over to the Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar, but the Improvement Trust, Jalandhar had handed over only the lay-out plans of the said buildings, which were sent to the applicant through registered post on 23.11.2021 and except that no other information is available related with these buildings in the record of Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.4003 dated 23.11.2021 along with copy of letter no.1478 dated 18.11.2021. I have gone through letter no.4003 dated 23.11.2021 vide which the information was sent to the applicant.

A Show Cause Notice was issued to the SPIO vide order dated 26.05.2021 and the SPIO has filed reply to the show cause notice vide duly attested affidavit dated 05.10.2021, which is in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the reply to the Show Cause Notice and it is submitted by the SPIO that the information which was available as per the record has been provided to the applicant. It is further submitted that the delay in providing the information is neither intentionally nor willfully. The SPIO tendered unconditional apology.

Contd..p2..

After going through the reply to the Show Cause Notice and also hearing the SPIO, it is clear that the SPIO is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the applicant, so the Show Cause Notice issued to the SPIO is hereby dropped and filed.

The SPIO has submitted that the information as per the official record has been sent to the applicant through registered post on 23.11.2021 and except that no other information is available in the record of Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar. Hence the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 24.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab. PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Shri Charanjit Singh, S/o Shri Palwinder Singh, R/o H.No. 101, Palahi Gate, Spice Tower Wali Street, Phagwara. A T UTOT AT

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o District Education Officer (SE), Kapurthala.

.....Respondent

## Complaint Case No. 391 of 2021

**Present:-** Shri Charanjit Singh, complainant/applicant is absent. Shri Rulda Singh, SPIO-cum-Suptd., O/o District Education Officer (SE), Kapurthala is personally present.

## ORDER

Shri Charanjit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 02.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o District Education Officer (SE), Kapurthala.

The applicant is absent today, whereas Shri Rulda Singh, SPIO-cum-Suptd., O/o District Education Officer (SE), Kapurthala is personally present.

The respondent SPIO stated that the attested copies of 44 pages of information has been sent to the applicant through registered post vide letter no.2021369458 dated 22.11.2021. The respondent submitted a copy of letter no.2021369458 dated 22.11.2021 along with a duly attested affidavit dated 22.11.2021 as reply to the Show Cause Notice, which are taken in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through letter no.2021369458 dated 22.11.2021 vide which 44 pages of information has been sent to the applicant through registered post. I have gone through the contents of reply submitted by the SPIO and also heard him on the Show Cause Notice.

After going through the reply to the Show Cause Notice and after hearing the SPIO, it is clear that the SPIO is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the applicant. So, the Show Cause Notice issued to the SPIO, O/o District Education Officer (SE), Kapurthala is hereby dropped and filed.

As the SPIO has sent 44 pages of information to the applicant through registered post vide letter no.2021369458 dated 22.11.2021 and resolved the matter involved in the RTI applications, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Iqbal Singh Rasulpur, C/o Advocate Manmohan Katyial, Office Near ADC Office, G.T. Road, Jagraon, Distt. Ludhiana.

Vs

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP (Rural), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o IGP, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

#### ---Respondent.

## Appeal Case No. 1930 of 2021

**Present:-** Shri Raj Singh is present on behalf of Shri Iqbal Singh Rasulpur, appellant. Constable Nirmal Kumar is present on behalf of Shri Charanjit Singh Sohal, IPS, SPIO-cum-SSP (Rural), Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Iqbal Singh Rasulpur, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Ludhiana

## Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Shri Raj Singh is present on behalf of Shri Iqbal Singh Rasulpur, appellant and Constable Nirmal Kumar is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The representative of the appellant stated that no information has been provided to the appellant till date.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant through whatsap on 23.11.2021 and copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail, which is a copy of letter no.581 dated 23.11.2021 along with copies of information. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant through whatsap on 23.11.2021.

But as the representative of the appellant stated that no information has been provided to the appellant, so the SPIO is directed that the sought for information be again sent to the appellant through registered post within 2 days and compliance be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Punjao Chile Mormatic

Shri Suresh Sood, S/o Sh. Dev Dutt Sood, R/o H.No. 329, Street Sood, Mohalla Sodia, Moga.

Versus

## **Public Information Officer**

O/o Asstt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Moga.

#### First Appellate Authority:

**O/o** Deputy Commissioner of State Tax, Ferozepur Division, Ferozepur.

# Appeal Case No. 2914 of 2021

Present:-Shri Suresh Sood, appellant is absent.<br/>Ms. Annu Sachdeva, SPIO-cum-SDO O/o Asstt. Excise and Taxation<br/>Commissioner, Moga is present.

#### ORDER

Shri Suresh Sood, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Moga.

## Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the SPIO is present and she stated that in compliance to the Order dated 11.11.2021, the remaining information has been provided by hand to the appellant on 12.11.2021 vide letter no.1459 dated 11.11.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.1460 dated 11.11.2021 along with a copy of letter no.1459 dated 11.11.2021 and copies of information.

I have gone through letter no.1460 dated 11.11.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that in compliance to the order dated 11.11.2021 the remaining information has been provided to the appellant vide letter no. 1459 dated 11.11.2021. I have also gone through letter no. 1459 date 11.11.2021 vide which the remaining information has been provided to the appellant and the appellant has signed on the said letter after receiving the information.

As the SPIO has complied with the order of the Commission and provided the remaining information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Respondent

--Appellant.



Shri Jagtar Singh, S/o Shri Sampooran Singh, Village Naraina, P.O. Bhagrana, Block Khera, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Block Khera, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Respondent

## Appeal Case No. 2615 of 2021

Present:-Shri Jagtar Singh, appellant is personally present.<br/>Shri Rajinder Kumar, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o<br/>Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Block Khera, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

Vs

#### ORDER

Shri Jagtar Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 15.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Block Khera, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

Shri Jagtar Singh, appellant and Shri Rajinder Kumar, Panchayat Secretary both are personally present today.

The respondent Shri Rajinder Kumar, Panchayat Secretary has provided 248 pages of information to the appellant today during the course of hearing and the appellant has received the same by accepting that he has received the 248 pages of information.

As the respondent has provided the sought for information comprising of 248 number of pages to the appellant today during the course of hearing, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Subhash Dahuja, Advocate, Chamber No. 16, New Distt. Court Complex, Fazilka.



.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/ o Education Secretary, Punjab School Education Board, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority: O/o Education Secretary, Punjab School Education Board, Mohali.

.....Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 2381 of 2021

**Present:-** Shri Subhash Dahuja, Advocate, appellant is present. Shri Ashu Kumar, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Education Secretary, Punjab School Education Board, Mohali.

## ORDER

Shri Subhash Dahuja, Advocate, appellant filed RTI application dated 01.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Education Secretary, Punjab School Education Board, Mohali.

The appellant is present through CISCO Webex, whereas the respondent has come personally present.

The appellant stated that the complete sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant on 15.11.2021 vide letter dated 12.11.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter No. 276500 dated 12.11.2021 along with copy of letter no. 170582 dated 23.07.2020 along with other documents.

I have gone through letter no.276500 dated 12.11.2021 vide which information was sent to the appellant. It is submitted by the SPIO that Shri Pawan Kumar, DEO (SE) Fazilka has not conducted any inquiry during the said period and it is further submitted that a charge sheet was issued to Shri Brij Mohan Singh, Deputy DEO (SE) Fazilka vide letter dated 05.02.2020 which has been filed vide order dated 10.12.2020. It is further submitted by the SPIO that no policy is available in the official record regarding conducting of an inquiry by the officials/officers who is himself under investigation stage.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information sent by the SPIO. The SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

## (Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Jagtar Singh, S/o Shri Sampooran Singh, Village Naraina, P.O. Bhagrana, Block Khera, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.



..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Block Khera, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Distt. Development & Panchayat Officer, Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Respondent

## Appeal Case No. 1660 of 2021

Present:-Shri Jagtar Singh, appellant is present.<br/>Ms. Harpreet Kaur, GRS, MANREGA along with Ms Navneet Kaur, APO,<br/>MANREGA is personally present on behalf of Shri Mahinder Singh, SPIO-cum-<br/>Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Block Khera, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

Vs

ORDER

Shri Jagtar Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 19.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Block Khera, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

Shri Jagtar Singh, appellant and Ms. Harpreet Kaur, GRS, MANREGA along with Ms Navneet Kaur, APO are personally present today.

The respondents i.e. Ms. Harpreet Kaur, GRS, MANREGA along with Ms Navneet Kaur, APO has provided 7 pages of information to the appellant today during the course of hearing and the appellant has received the same by accepting that he has received the 7pages of information.

As the respondents has provided the sought for information comprising of 7 number of pages to the appellant today during the course of hearing, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

#### (Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 24.11.2021

(Regd.) Copy to : DDPO, Fatehgarh Sahib – For information.

Shri Naresh Kumar, H. No. NH 318, Neela Mehal, PO New Green Market, New Dana Mandi, Jalandhar.



..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

#### Appeal Case No. 3044 of 2020

Present:- Shri Naresh Kumar, appellant is absent. Shri Mandhir Singh, SPIO-cum-XEN is personally present along with Shri Vicky Sahota, Dealing Clerk, O/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

Vs

Shri Naresh Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 16.07.2020 and sought information from the SPIO, O/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar regarding the government houses/quarters allotted to the employees of Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

#### Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas Shri Mandhir Singh, SPIO-cum-XEN along with Shri Vicky Sahota, Dealing Clerk is personally present.

The respondent SPIO has submitted a copy of letter no. 342 dated 22.11.2021 to the Commission, which is taken in the file. I have gone through the said letter vide which sought for information, specifically point-wise, has been provided by hand to the appellant and the appellant has made a duly signed noting on the said letter after receiving the information that he has received the information and he is satisfied with it.

A show cause notice was issued to the SPIO and he has filed reply to the show cause notice, which is in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the said reply and also heard the SPIO on the show cause notice. The SPIO stated that the RTI application was filed on 16.07.2020, whereas he has assumed the charge of SPIO on 26.08.2021.

So, as per the submission of the respondent SPIO it is clear that he is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the appellant. Hence, the show cause notice issued to him is hereby dropped and filed.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

P PSiC State

Shri Arun Garg (Er.), S/o Shri Sham Lal, H.No. 40-41, Central Town, Pakhowal Road, Village Dad, Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SHO, Police Station, Div. No. 6, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 1960 of 2021

Present:- Shri Arun Garg (Er.), appellant is absent. None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Div. No. 6, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Arun Garg (Er.), appellant filed RTI application dated 12.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Div. No. 6, Ludhiana.

# Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.386 dated 16.08.2021 along with copy of Kalandara and other information.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 12.10.2020 and also gone through letter no.386 dated 16.08.2021 vide which the information was sent to the appellant by the SPIO. The appellant sought information regarding his complaint dated 06.04.2019 and the SPIO has sent him the required information.

The SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.